

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/023,135 12/17/2001 Andreas Langsdorf P/633-12 4726 2352 03/09/2004 **EXAMINER** OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN LOPEZ, CARLOS N 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1731

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A mark manufacture (mark manufacture manuf	mr
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,135	LANGSDORF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE AND	Carlos Lopez	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	-· action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit			
application from the International Bureau		Ŭ	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not received	d.	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ie	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 /DS</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/023,135

Art Unit: 1731

### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1-9 as indicated to Charles Faber on 2/26/04 through a telephonic interview is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Di Candia (US 3,908,735). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on 12/16/00. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). Only the front page of the German application has been supplied.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: in page 8, control valve 1.10. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: In claim 8, the limitation "the outlet channel is positioned at a location across the membrane body selected for reducing gas pressure above the outlet channel for controlling the manufacture of a glass gob suspended above the membrane body".

Application/Control Number: 10/023,135

Art Unit: 1731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "the porous material" in lines 11-12 of claim 1 lacks antecedent basis.

The limitation "the channel" in line 14 of claim 1 lacks antecedent basis.

The limitation "the channels" in claims 2 and 6-7 lacks antecedent basis.

The limitation "the manufacture of a glass gob suspended above the membrane body" in claim 8 lacks antecedent basis. In claim 8, it is unclear how the position of the outlet channel in the membrane body would reduce the gas pressure above the outlet channel.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Di Candia (US 3,908,735). Di Candia discloses a membrane body made of porous material 103 having channels 104 for the introduction of compressed gas to flow

Application/Control Number: 10/023,135

Art Unit: 1731

through the porous material. As for claim 3, channels 104 pass through the porous membrane body 103 and opens to the outlet surface of the porous material 103 and to the surface opposite the outlet surface as best shown in figure 3.

As for claim 2, as shown in figure 4, the channels 104 are at an acute angle to the outlet surface.

As for claim 6, the distance between the channel 104 and the outlet surface, which is deemed as the surface which the compressed air exits as best shown in figure 4, is less than half the thickness of the membrane body 103.

As for claim 8, the outlet channels are positioned at a position across the membrane body to provide compressed gas to the outlet surface.

As for claim 9, the outlet surface is a horizontal upper surface.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited prior art fails to disclose or reasonably suggest valves in the outlet channel wherein the channel passes through a porous membrane body as recited in claim 4. The cited prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest a porous body having a channel embedded therein wherein the porous body is generally a disc having a diameter to thickness ratio in the range of 1:1 to 10:1 as recited in claim 5.

Art Unit: 1731

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References B-I in PTO-892 have been cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is 571.272.1193. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CL

PETER CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER